PEABODY HOUSING AUTHORITY Peabody, Massachusetts

REPORT ON AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

FOR THE YEAR ENDED

June 30, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>P</u>	<u>age</u>
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Schedule of Agreed-Upon Procedures	2



Dooley & Vicars Certified Public Accountants, L.L.P.

Daniel J. Dooley, C.P.A.

Michael H. Vicars, C.P.A.

1

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

To the Board of Commissioners Peabody Housing Authority Peabody, Massachusetts

We have performed the procedures enumerated below, which were agreed to by the Board of Commissioners, the Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD) and management of the Peabody Housing Authority, solely to perform the agreed-upon procedures, as prescribed by the Massachusetts Department of Housing and Community Development for the year ended June 30, 2022. The Peabody Housing Authority's management is responsible for management decisions and functions and maintaining internal controls, including monitoring ongoing activities. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in this report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

The procedures and the associated findings are presented in the Schedule of Agreed-Upon Procedures included in this report.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an examination, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the effectiveness of the Peabody Housing Authority's internal controls or on compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Board of Commissioners, DHCD and management of the Peabody Housing Authority, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Dooley & Vicars

Certified Public Accountants

Tools & Vives

Richmond, Virginia February 25, 2023

Housing Authority Name:			PEABODY HOUSING	AUTHORITY	
Fiscal Year End (FYE):		Jun 2022			
Date of	AUP Condu	cted:	2/20/2023 12:00:00 AM		
E	xecutive Dire	ector:	Anne Marie Burns		
CPA:			Dooley and Vicars CP	PAs, LLP	
CPA Phone:		804 355-2808			
		HMS:	Melanie Loveland-Hal	e	
Total	AUP Except	ions:	2		
	A. G	eneral A	Accounting		
Total # of exceptions: 0				Rating: No Findings	
	Exceptions	Exc	eption Explanation	CPA Recommendations	LHA Response
A. Reconciling financial statements to general ledger.					
1. The amounts reported on the Operating Statement and Balance Sheet (DHCD Forms 51-1 and 51-2, respectively) reconcile to the LHA's general ledger. (Tolerable error of +/-\$100). For all cases that don't match, please detail specifics including at a minimum account and variance amount in column to right.	NE				
B. The following general ledger accounts reconcile to support match, please detail specifics including at a minimum account					For all cases that don't
1. Cash accounts (#1111 to #1114.1 and #1162) are in agreement with bank statements and reconciliations	NE				
2. Tenant Accounts Receivable and Prepaid Tenant Rent accounts (#1122, #1124 and #2240) are in agreement with agings of Tenants Accounts Receivable (TAR)	NE				
3. Capital Assets and Accumulated Depreciation (all fixed assets except 1400.2) are in agreement with the depreciation schedule/fixed asset listing).	NE				
4. Accounts Payables accounts (#2111, #2111.1, #2120 and #2139) are in agreement with supporting documentation for Accounts Payables and accruals.	NE				
5. Accrued Compensated Absences accounts (#2135 and #2335.01) are in agreement with the compensated absences schedule.	NE				
6. DHCD approved budget exemptions for direct reimbursement as found in the (ANUEL & Subsidy Worksheet - Section 8 in the Operating Statement) are in agreement with LHA record of actual expenses in the General Ledger.	NE				

Saturday, June 24, 2023 Page 1 of 8

7. Salaries and Gross Wages (4110, 4410, 4120) (tolerable error of +/- 3.0%) are in agreement with the MA form WR-1 (state filings).	NE			
8. Balance Sheet Accounts (#2140, #2339.1, and #2339.2) are in agreement with OPEB/pension reporting.	NE			
C. DHCD Public Housing Notice #2018-4, Direct Cost Exemption	on for Operat	ing Reserve Augmentation in	FY2018 Budget & New Opera	ting Reserve Thresholds.
1. The amounts reported on the Operating Statement and Balance Sheet (DHCD Forms 51-1 and 51-2, respectively) reconcile to the LHA's general ledger. (Tolerable error of +/-\$100). For all cases that don't match, please detail specifics including at a minimum account and variance amount in column to right.	NE			
	В. Т	enant Accounting		
Total # of exceptions: 0			Rating: No Findings	
	Exceptions	Exception Explanation	CPA Recommendations	LHA Response
A. Select a random sample of rent transactions (Small - 5, Medand 20% are lease enforcements (if have).	d - 10, Large	- 15, Very Large - 20) of rent t	ransactions. Include at least 2	0% are credit adjustments
The Authority retained supporting documentation for rent receipts.	NE			
2. The Authority posted rent receipts to the correct tenant accounts.	NE			
3. The Authority retained documentation supporting credit adjustments.	NE			
4. The Authority followed its rent collection policy for non-payment of rent (i.e., issued a notice to quit, followed eviction protocol.)	NE			
B. Account Write-Offs				
Documentation of Board approval to write-off account (board approval of write-off required per budget guidelines for Acct #4570 - Collection Loss).	NE			
C. Vacancies Being Reported in Vacancy System				
Verify that the number of vacant units accounted for in the LHA's operating software is the same number of vacancies reported by the LHA in the DHCD On Line Vacancy System for the fiscal year	NE			
		C. Payroll		
Total # of exceptions: 0			Rating: No Findings	
	Exceptions	Exception Explanation	CPA Recommendations	LHA Response
A. Wage Reporting				

Saturday, June 24, 2023 Page 2 of 8

1. Actual wages for the Top 5 highest paid employees was consistent with the DHCD-approved budget (Schedule of All Salaries and Positions Report), excluding over-time and longevity payments. (Tolerable error of +/- 3.0% of budgeted salary)	NE				
2. Verify the amount reported on the Top 5 Compensation Form matches exactly the amount reported on reconciled to the WR-1.	NE				
3. LHA is in possession of DHCD-approved executive contract signed by the LHA, Executive Director and DHCD. If LHA can show that currently being processed by DHCD and was not returned to the LHA for failing to meet DCHD's requirements, LHA can produce the last DHCD-approved executive contract or at-will agreement signed by the LHA, Executive Director and DHCD.	NE				
3. Payroll Testing for all employees from all funding sources	- Select a sin	igle pay	roll period:		
The payroll register accurately accounts for time worked as logged on employee timesheets/time cards.	NE				
2. Timesheets/time cards are maintained by all employees (including Executive Director) and were approved by supervisor (except Executive Director) including leave taken.	NE				
3. Annual leave time (i.e., sick, vacation, personal) used is identified on timesheets/time cards and accurately accounted for in a compensated absences register.	NE				
C. Compensated Absences Policy					
1. Personnel Policy includes (1) the limits on the amount of vacation and sick leave that will be accrued each year, and when and how such leave will be accrued; (2) a limit on the amount of accrued vacation that may be carried over from year to year, and; (3) a cap on the payout for accrued and unused sick leave at the end of employment per PHN 2017-14.	NE				
2. The Authority is accounting for annual leave time earned in accordance with the Authority's personnel policy.	NE				
	D. /	Accour	its Payable		
Total # of exceptions: 0				Rating: No Find	lings
	Exceptions	Ex	ception Explanation	CPA Recommendation	ns LHA Response
A. Select a random sample of (Small - 15, Med - 20, Large - 25, arge or unusual items identified in a review of the cash disbuemployee expense reimbursement transaction, at least one cafor all discrepancies, to the right detail the type of payable, the	irsements jou apital expens	urnál. T se, at le	he auditor should sub ast one operating exp	stitute for at least one cre	edit card statement, at least one
Cash disbursements were authorized in accordance with the Authority's policies.	NE				
Cash disbursements are in agreement with supporting documentation.	NE				
3. Supporting documentation is sufficiently detailed.	NE				

Saturday, June 24, 2023 Page 3 of 8

4. Costs are allowable (i.e. sales tax, alcohol, lottery tickets)	NE	I			
5. Costs are properly allocated to the correct program(s). Cost of current year additions are allocated to programs in a manner consistent with the use of the asset.	NE				
6. Costs are properly classified.	NE				
		E. Inv	entory		
Total # of exceptions: 0				Rating: No Findings	
	Exceptions	E	cception Explanation	CPA Recommendations	LHA Response
A. Capital and Non-Capital Asset Inventory					
1. The Authority performed a physical count of its capital asset and non-capital asset inventory at least annually (non-capital assets are refrigerators and stoves and other furniture equipment over the Authority's non-capital inventory threshold, which may not exceed \$1,000).	NE				
2. Capital and Non-Capital Asset inventory includes all necessary information to identify the asset. For non-capital assets that includes a tag with an LHA-assigned number for all assets of \$1,000 or more (and all refrigerators and stoves of any value). For relevant assets of \$5,000 or more that includes the make/model/year for vehicles and the FISH number.	NE				
3. The Authority identified additions and disposals of capital and non-capital assets for the accounting period.	NE				
4. Select a random sample of non-capital assets by tag number (Small - 3, Med - 6, Large - 9, Very Large - 12) and verify existence.	NE				
		F. Proc	urement		
Total # of exceptions: 2				Rating: Operational Guida	ance
	Exceptions	E	cception Explanation	CPA Recommendations	LHA Response
For A to C below, examine the cash disbursements journal (o during the year that should have been competitively procured Med - 5, Large - 7, Very Large - 9) of known or possible procurement valuing \$10,000 to \$50,000 and one procurement not competitively procured, enter as an exception in A. For s depending on the size of the procurement.	d. From thes rements valu t valuing mo	se purc uing \$1 ore thar	hases that should have 0,000 or more; if possik 1 \$50,000 (for goods an	been competitively procured ble when selecting the sample d services for MGL c. 30B onl	l, select a sample (Small - 3, e, include at least one y). If any in the sample were
A. Procurement Policy					
1. The Authority's procurement policy is consistent with the requirements of MGL c. 30b (or more conservative federal regulations).	NE				
2. The Authority maintains a contract register which includes the following information: contractor, description, active/inactive, start date, end date, extensions available, contract award amount, change orders amount, contract expenditures to date and remaining value.	NE	a ¢EO O	00) (for goods and som	ricos for MGL a 20D anha	

.HA can follow more conservative federal regulations when ap				wns to N/A in this section]
1. Proper procurement method used.	E	The Authority failed to submit an Emergency Waiver letter request for qualifying emergency situations seeking a waiver of the bidding requirements of G.L. c.149, §44A(4), and/or the advertising requirements of G.L. c.149, §44J(6).	The Authority should always submit an Emergency Waiver letter request for qualifying emergency situations.	The PHA staff believed that although cumulative totals for the vendor for the year would appear to be in violation of the bidding regulations because each individual emergency situation that arose was under the bidding threshold that a DCAMM Emergency Waiver was not required. Each individual occurrence emergency service was required to protect the health and safety of residents and property. We will review our policies and procedures moving forward.
Proper selection based on MGL c.30B s.5 solicitation of quotes requirements.	NE			
3. Documentation of a written purchase description with solicitation of written quotes from at least three persons.	NE			
4. Contract was for not more than 3 years unless majority board vote allowed it to be longer.	NE			
5. Board vote is documented approving individual contract, or a board vote to delegate authority over certain contracts (by dollar threshold or other criteria) to an LHA staff member, usually Executive Director.	NE			
6. Contract did not go through automatic renewals unless renewals were part of the original procurement.	NE			
7. The contracts are included on the Authority's contract	NIE			

C. Known and possible procurements valuing (more than \$50,000) (for goods and services for MGL c. 30B only).

LHA can follow more conservative federal regulations when applicable. [- If N/A selected for any one below, then default all drop downs to N/A in this section]

ΝE

register.

Page 5 of 8 Saturday, June 24, 2023

Proper procurement method used.	E	an Emergency Waiver letter request for qualifying	The Authority should always submit an Emergency Waiver letter request for qualifying emergency situations.	The PHA staff believed that although cumulative totals for the vendor for the year would appear to be in violation of the bidding regulations because each individual emergency situation that arose was under the bidding threshold that a DCAMM Emergency Waiver was not required. Each individual occurrence emergency service was required to protect the health and safety of residents and property. We will review our policies and procedures moving forward.
2. Proper selection based on MGL c.30B s.5 IFB requirements or MGL c.30B s.6 RFP requirements. If using MGL C.30B s.6 RFP requirements, LHA must have a Chief Procurement Officer (CPO) conduct the procurement under c.30B s.6.	NE			
3. Documentation of Newspaper advertisement, LHA's Office and COMMBUYS two weeks prior to bidding process. If contract was for over \$100K, it was advertised in the Goods & Services Bulletin.	NE			
4. If IFB, contract award went to lowest bidder. If RFP, contract went to lowest bidder or letter explaining why went with another bidder.	NE			
5. Board vote is documented approving individual contract, or a board vote to delegate authority over certain contracts (by dollar threshold or other criteria) to an LHA staff member, usually Executive Director.	NE			
Contract did not go through automatic renewals unless renewals were part of the original procurement.	NE			
7. The contracts are included on the Authority's contract register.	NE			
	G. El	igibility Compliance		
Total # of exceptions: 0			Rating: No Findings	
	Exceptions	Exception Explanation	CPA Recommendations	LHA Response
A. Public Housing - Select a sample (Small LHA - 5, Medium L multiple property managers, at least one file should be selected			enant files (from programs 20	0, 667, 705); if the LHA has
1. The Authority performed timely annual rent determinations (or bi-annual if the Authority has a waiver from DHCD to do so).	NE			
2. The Authority properly calculated rent.	NE			

Saturday, June 24, 2023 Page 6 of 8

3. The Authority verified family composition.	NE			
4. The Authority verified income, exclusions from income and deductions.	NE			
5. The Authority properly sent notifications of rent redetermination at least 60 days prior to the effective date.	NE			
6. The Authority properly sent notifications of rent change at least 14 days prior to the effective date.	NE			
7. The Authority was timely in the execution of lease addendums.	NE			
B. MRVP - Select a sample of annual rent determinations (sam drop downs to N/A in this section]	ple 10% (mi	in:1 max:15) of leased MRVP u	nits). [- If N/A selected for ar	y one below, then default all
The Authority performed timely annual rent determinations.	NE			
2. The Authority properly calculated rent.	NE			
3. The Authority verified family composition.	NE			
The Authority verified income, exclusions from income and deductions.	NE			
5. The Authority obtained Certificates of Fitness (COF).	NE			
6. The Authority obtained Letters of Compliance for Lead Paint if child <6 years old and building built prior to 1978 with no new construction permit.	NE			
7. The Authority obtained Proofs of Ownership	NE			
8. The Authority obtained W9s for landlords.	NE			

Saturday, June 24, 2023 Page 7 of 8

H. Section 8						
Total # of exceptions: 0		Rating: No Findings				
	Exceptions	Exception Explanation	CPA Recommendations	LHA Response		
A. Section 8 New Construction/ Substantial Rehabilitation						
1. Board vote is documented approving Section 8 New Construction/Substantial Rehabilitation Compliance Certification to ensure compliance with the Affordable Use Restriction for any existing S8 NC/SR property owned by the LHA.	NE					

Saturday, June 24, 2023 Page 8 of 8